

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Guited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,387	11/23/2001	Junichiro Yoshioka	2001_1740	4334
513	7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			PHASGE. ARUN S	
SUITE 800	EEI N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006-1021		1753	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<i>(</i> 2)		Dag.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V v
	09/990,387	YOSHIOKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arun S. Phasge	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) M, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_ ·	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·	·
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) dbjected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • •	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	1		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/23/01.		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 123b in fig. 11. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because figure 8 contains a foreign word or alphabet. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any

Art Unit: 1753

amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 9 in section [0050] line 2, "the second holding member 13" should be --the second holding member 12--. On page 18, "suitable gas 5" or "the ceiling 5 (not shown)"

should be amended to either remove the reference number or include it in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 112

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the conductive layer of the semiconductor wafer" in the 3^{rd} line from the bottom. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

Claim 15, 24, 34, 44 and 54 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. To recite that the "insulating member comprises an insulating material" fails to further limit the subject matter of the previous claim, since an

Application/Control Number: 09/990,387 Page 5

Art Unit: 1753

insulating member would have to comprise an insulating material to provide insulation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodruff et al. (Woodruff), U.S. Patent 6,309,520 in view Greenspan, U.S. Patent 5,843,296.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,387

Art Unit: 1753

The Woodruff patent discloses the claimed workpiece holder comprising the first holder having wafer support surface, an annular second holding member having a sealing means with packing and a conductive member within the packing (see claims 1-61). The reference further discloses other limitations to the conductive members and the arrangements thereof (see figures and col. 14, lines 1-37). The patent further appears to show the rotatable ring which would clamp (see figures 1-33). The reference further discloses the use of the workpiece holder in electroplating and accordingly, would include the bath and the counter electrode (see abstract).

The Woodruff patent fails to disclose some of the shapes of the conductors and holding members. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Woodruff patent, because such modification has been held to be within the purview of the ordinary artisan.

The reference does not the disclose the hinge mechanism as claimed. The Greenspan is cited to show the use of the hinge mechanism in the electroplating of substrates (see figure 3). The invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

Art Unit: 1753

disclosure of the Woodruff patent with the teachings of the Greenspan reference, because the Greenspan patent shows the use of the hinge to clamp a substrate for electroplating.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun 5. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866=217-9197 (toll-free).

Arun S. Phasge

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1753

asp